



- Setting policy in isolation of industry is a recipe for disaster
- Politicising critical debates leads to worsening economic, social,
  cultural, biodiversity and environmental outcomes
- Patting industry on the head condescendingly is unhelpful and not appreciated by an industry that has so much to offer
- All the answers are not to be found in Brisbane or within the Scientific Community
- A 'One Size Fits All' approach to our 13 unique bio-regions is by definition harmful to at least 12 of those bio-regions
- Legislating minimum standards AND incenting best practice accelerates progress far faster than either in isolation
- Industry wants to be a far stronger part of the work and the solution –
  and is incredibly frustrated by not being so to date
- No one is winning our environments certainly are not



- Our Industry can contribute so much more economically, socially & environmentally if we reset our engagement framework.
- Industry should be an equal partner
- Landscapes (including State Forests) need to be managed with a long term plan and a baseline agreed & firmly set in place.
- There needs to be a full review of pertinent Acts (such as VMA & NCA)
  with history, experience and science guiding those reviews.
- A bi-partisan approach is necessary to optimize strengthened outcomes given the long term nature of landscape planning.
- A 'Vision' and 'Purpose' for the industry, communities and landscapes is a critical early underpinning (currently missing)
- Landholders are not the enemy, they want to care for ecosystem health
  & need a framework that supports them in doing so
- It is not too late for Queensland to lead the way in Australia



ADVANCING SUSTAINABLE AGRIBUSINESS